

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/645,451	08/21/2003	Joseph L. Bryant	4115-150 CIP DIV	7909	
23448 INTELLECTI	7590 10/13/200 JAL PROPERTY / TEO	EXAM	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 14329			NOBLE, MARCIA STEPHENS		
RESEARCH	RIANGLE PARK, NC	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		1632			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/13/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/645,451	BRYANT ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	MARCIA S. NOBLE	1632		

	MARCIA S. NOBLE	1632					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 30 September 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.					
 Since reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance, (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of a eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(I Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		36(a) and the appropriat	e extension fee				
Detersions of time in gray be doublest of without 57 of the 1704(s). If the have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CPR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (c) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS							
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below the control of the control	sideration and/or search (see NOT v);	ΓE below);					
 (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or 	er form for appeal by materially red	fucing or simplifying th	ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).				
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all 							
non-allowable claim(s)would be all	owabie ir submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmen	it canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving the proposed amendment of the proposed amendment (s): a) for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment (s): a) for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment (s): a) for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment (s): a) for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment (s): a) for purposes of appeal is a for purpose of appeal is a f		l be entered and an ex	planation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 3, 4, and 5-10</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	∌d.				
11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan-	ce because:				
See Continuation Sheet.							
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☐ Other:							
	/Thaian N. Ton/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1632					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 112, 2nd paragraph.

Claims 1, 3, 4, and 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Applicant's arguments that an artisan would understand the means of "active HIV infection" are found persuasive .

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

112, 1st pargraph

Claims 1, 3, 4, and 6-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it bertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Applicant asserts that Example 11 and item 8 of Dr. Bryant's Declaration demonstrate that the instant invention is enables the mouse of the instant invention. Applicant's arguments are not found persuasive. Example 11 is a profectic example of how to make the claimed transgenic rat. However, as previously made of record in making a transgenic rat the phenotype is unpredictable. Since it was never demonstrated that the rat can be infected by HIV and have an "active HIV infection" in the specification, the specification and art do not embled the claimed transgenic rat. Dr. Bryant's declaration loses not further enable the instant invention because it declares that the transgenic rat was made by the methods of Example 11 and infected by the method of example 11. The declaration provides no evidence that the transgenic rat with HIV resulted in active HIV infection in the rat as claimed. Thus, Applicant's arguments are not persuasive in overcoming the rejection or frecord and the rejection is maintained.